arXiv:1601.06774v1 [math.AP] 24 Jan 2016

An Asymptotic Expansion for Perturbations in the Displacement Field due to the Presence of Thin Interfaces

Jihene Lagha * Habib Zribi †

Abstract

We derive an asymptotic expansion for two-dimensional displacement field associated to thin elastic inhomogeneities having no uniform thickness. Our derivation is rigorous and based on layer potential techniques. We extend these techniques to determine a relationship between traction-displacement measurements and the shape of the object.

Mathematics subject classification (MSC2000): 35B30, 35C20, 31B10

Keywords: Thin inhomogeneities, small perturbations, Lamé system, asymptotic expansions, boundary integral method

1 Introduction and statement of main results

Let D be a bounded $\mathcal{C}^{2,\eta}$ domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$ for some $\eta > 0$. For a given $\epsilon > 0$, let D_ϵ be an $\epsilon-$perturbation of D, *i.e.*, there is $h \in \mathcal{C}^1(\partial D)$ such that ∂D_ϵ is given by

$$\partial D_\epsilon := \Big\{\tilde{x} : \tilde{x} = x + \epsilon h(x)\mathbf{n}(x), x \in \partial D\Big\},$$

where $\mathbf{n}(x)$ is the outward normal to the domain D. We assume that $h(x) \geq C > 0$ for all $x \in \partial D$.

Consider a homogeneous isotropic elastic object occupying $\mathbb{R}^2$. Suppose that the thin layer $D_\epsilon \backslash \overline{D}$ lies inside $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let the constants $(\lambda_0, \mu_0), (\lambda_1, \mu_1)$, and (λ_2, μ_2) denote the Lamé coefficients of $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{D}_\epsilon$, D, and $D_\epsilon \backslash \overline{D}$, respectively. It is always assumed that

$$\mu_j > 0, \qquad \lambda_j + \mu_j > 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2.$$

We also assume that

$$(\lambda_0 - \lambda_j)(\mu_0 - \mu_j) \geq 0, \qquad \Big((\lambda_0 - \lambda_j)^2 + (\mu_0 - \mu_j)^2 \neq 0\Big) \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2.$$

Let $\mathbb{C}_0$, $\mathbb{C}_1$, and $\mathbb{C}_2$ be the elasticity tensors for $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{D}_\epsilon$, D, and $D_\epsilon \backslash \overline{D}$, respectively, which are given by

$$\mathbb{C}_m = \lambda_m \mathbf{I} \otimes \mathbf{I} + 2\mu_m \mathbb{I}, \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \tag{1.1}$$

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, University of Tunis El Manar, Tunis 2092, Tunisia (lagha.jihene@yahoo.fr)

†Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, University of Hafr Al Batin, P.o. 1803, Hafr Al Batin 31991, Saudi Arabia (zribi.habib@yahoo.fr)

where $\mathbb{I}$ is the identity 4-tensor and $\mathbf{I}$ is the identity 2-tensor (the 2×2 identity matrix).

We define

$$\mathbb{C}_\epsilon := \mathbb{C}_0 \chi_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{D}_\epsilon} + \mathbb{C}_2 \chi_{D_\epsilon \setminus \overline{D}} + \mathbb{C}_1 \chi_D, \qquad \mathbb{C} := \mathbb{C}_0 \chi_{\mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{D}} + \mathbb{C}_1 \chi_D,$$

where χ_D is the indicator function of D.

Let $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$ denote the displacement field in the presence of the thin $D_\epsilon \setminus \overline{D}$, that is, the solution to

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \big(\mathbb{C}_\epsilon \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon\big) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x) - \mathbf{H}(x) = O(|x|^{-1}) & \text{as } |x| \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

where $\widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}\big(\nabla \mathbf{u}_\epsilon + \nabla \mathbf{u}_\epsilon^T\big)$ is the strain tensor and $\mathbf{H}$ is a vector-valued function satisfying $\nabla \cdot \big(\mathbb{C}_0 \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{H}\big) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Here and throughout the paper $\mathbf{M}^T$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $\mathbf{M}$.

The elastostatic system corresponding to the Lamé constants (λ_j, μ_j) is defined by

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_j, \mu_j} \mathbf{w} := \mu_j \Delta \mathbf{w} + (\lambda_j + \mu_j) \nabla \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}. \tag{1.3}$$

The corresponding conormal derivative $\dfrac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \nu_j}$ associated with (λ_j, μ_j) is defined by

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \nu_j} := \lambda_j (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{n} + \mu_j \big(\nabla \mathbf{w} + \nabla \mathbf{w}^T\big) \mathbf{n} = \lambda_j (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}) \mathbf{n} + 2 \mu_j \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}\, \mathbf{n}. \tag{1.4}$$

The problem (1.2) is equivalent to the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0, \mu_0} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \overline{D}_\epsilon, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_2, \mu_2} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } D_\epsilon \setminus \overline{D}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1, \mu_1} \mathbf{u}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ \mathbf{u}_\epsilon\big|_- = \mathbf{u}_\epsilon\big|_+ \quad \text{on } \partial D, & \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\epsilon}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- = \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\epsilon}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_+ \quad \text{on } \partial D, \\ \mathbf{u}_\epsilon\big|_- = \mathbf{u}_\epsilon\big|_+ \quad \text{on } \partial D_\epsilon, & \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\epsilon}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_- = \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\epsilon}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ \quad \text{on } \partial D_\epsilon, \\ \mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x) - \mathbf{H}(x) = O(|x|^{-1}) & \text{as } |x| \to \infty. \end{cases} \tag{1.5}$$

The notation $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon|_\pm$ on ∂D denote the limits from outside and inside of D, respectively. We will sometimes use $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon^e$ for $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon|_+$ and $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon^i$ for $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon|_-$.

The first achievement of this work, a rigorous derivation of the asymptotic expansion of $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon|_\Omega$ as $\epsilon \to 0$ where Ω is a bounded region away from ∂D, based on layer potential techniques.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$ be the solution to (1.5). Let Ω be a bounded region away from ∂D. For $x \in \Omega$, the following pointwise asymptotic expansion holds:*

$$\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x) = \mathbf{u}(x) + \epsilon \mathbf{u}_1(x) + o(\epsilon), \tag{1.6}$$

where the remainder $o(\epsilon)$ depends only on (λ_j, μ_j) for j=0,1,2, the $\mathcal{C}^2$-norm of X, the $\mathcal{C}^1$-norm of h, and dist$(\Omega, \partial D)$, $\mathbf{u}$ is the unique solution to

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot \big(\mathbb{C} \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}\big) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathbf{u}(x) - \mathbf{H}(x) = O(|x|^{-1}) & \text{as } |x| \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{1.7}$$

and $\mathbf{u}_1$ *is the unique solution of the following transmission problem:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0,\mu_0}\mathbf{u}_1 = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\overline{D}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1,\mu_1}\mathbf{u}_1 = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ \mathbf{u}_1\big|_- - \mathbf{u}_1\big|_+ = h\Big((\mathbb{K}_{0,1} - \mathbb{K}_{2,1})\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\Big)\mathbf{n} & \text{on } \partial D, \\ \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- - \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ = \dfrac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big[(\mathbb{M}_{2,1} - \mathbb{M}_{0,1})\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big]\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) & \text{on } \partial D, \\ \mathbf{u}_1(x) = O(|x|^{-1}) & \text{as } |x| \to \infty, \end{cases} \tag{1.8}$$

with $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ *is the tangential vector to* ∂D*,*

$$\mathbb{M}_{l,k} := \frac{\lambda_l(\lambda_k + 2\mu_k)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l}\mathbf{I}\otimes\mathbf{I} + 2\mu_k\mathbb{I} + \frac{4(\mu_l - \mu_k)(\lambda_l + \mu_l)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l}\mathbf{I}\otimes(\boldsymbol{\tau}\otimes\boldsymbol{\tau}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{K}_{l,k} := {} & \frac{\mu_l(\lambda_k - \lambda_l) + 2(\mu_l - \mu_k)(\lambda_l + \mu_l)}{\mu_l(\lambda_l + 2\mu_l)}\mathbf{I}\otimes\mathbf{I} + 2\big(\frac{\mu_k}{\mu_l} - 1\big)\mathbb{I} \\ & + \frac{2(\mu_k - \mu_l)(\lambda_l + \mu_l)}{\mu_l(\lambda_l + 2\mu_l)}\mathbf{I}\otimes(\boldsymbol{\tau}\otimes\boldsymbol{\tau}).\end{aligned}$$

By taking $\lambda_2 = \lambda_1$ and $\mu_2 = \mu_1$, we reduce our problem to that proposed in [17]. So it is obvious to obtain the asymptotic expansion of the displacement field resulting from small perturbations of the shape of an elastic inclusion already derived in [17, Theorem 1.1]. Our asymptotic expansion is valid in the cases of thin interfaces with high contrast parameters and can be derived similarly to [16], for more details on behaviors of the leading and first order terms $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{u}_1$, we refer the reader to [2, Chapter 2]. In [9, 10] the authors derive asymptotic expansions for the boundary displacement field in the case $\lambda_0 = \lambda_1$ and $\mu_0 = \mu_1$ in both cases of isotropic and anisotropic thin elastic inclusions, the approach they use, based on energy estimates, variational approach, and fine regularity estimates for solutions of elliptic systems obtained by Li and Nirenberg [20]. Unfortunately, this method does not seem to work in our case.

Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the solution of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0,\mu_0}\mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\overline{D}, \\ \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1,\mu_1}\mathbf{v} = 0 & \text{in } D, \\ \mathbf{v}|_- = \mathbf{v}|_+ & \text{on } \partial D, \\ \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- = \dfrac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ & \text{on } \partial D, \\ \mathbf{v}(x) - \mathbf{F}(x) = O(|x|^{-1}) & \text{as } |x| \to \infty. \end{cases} \tag{1.9}$$

As a consequence of the theorem 1.1, we obtain the following relationship between traction-displacement measurements and the deformation h.

Theorem 1.2 *Let* $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$*,* $\mathbf{u}$*, and* $\mathbf{v}$ *be the solutions to* (1.5)*,* (1.7)*, and* (1.9)*, respectively. Let* S *be a Lipschitz closed curve enclosing* D *away from* ∂D*. The following asymptotic*

expansion holds:

$$\int_S (\mathbf{u}_\epsilon - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \nu_0} d\sigma - \int_S (\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\epsilon}{\partial \nu_0} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \nu_0}) \cdot \mathbf{F} d\sigma$$
$$= \epsilon \int_{\partial D} h \bigg(([\mathbb{M}_{0,1} - \mathbb{M}_{2,1}] \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}^i) \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v}^i \boldsymbol{\tau} + ([\mathbb{K}_{2,1} - \mathbb{K}_{0,1}] \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{u}^i) \mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbb{C}_1 \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{v}^i) \mathbf{n} \bigg) d\sigma + o(\epsilon), \tag{1.10}$$

where the remainder $o(\epsilon)$ depends only on (λ_j, μ_j) for j=0,1,2, the $\mathcal{C}^2$-norm of X, the $\mathcal{C}^1$-norm of h, and dist$(S, \partial D)$. The dot denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

The asymptotic expansion in (1.10) can be used to design algorithms to identify certain properties of its thin elastic like location and thickness based on traction-displacement measurements. To do this, we refer to asymptotic formulae related to measurements in the same spirit, far-field data, currents, generalized polarization tensors, elastic moment tensors, multistatic response at single or multiple frequencies, and modal measurements that have been obtained in a series of recent papers [1, 3, 6, 7, 13, 18, 19, 22].

Our techniques in this paper seem simpler to implement and have the big advantage to derive high order terms in the asymptotic formulae and allow a generalization to 3-dimensional interface problems by using [15, 16].

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we review some basic facts on the layer potentials of the Lamé system and derive a representation formula for the solution of the problem (1.5). In section 3, we derive asymptotic expansions of layer potentials. In section 3, based on layer potentials techniques we rigorously derive the asymptotic expansion for perturbations in the displacement field and find the relationship between traction-displacement measurements and the deformation h (Theorem 1.1 & Theorem 1.2).

2 Representation formula

Let us review some well-known properties of the layer potentials for the elastostatics. The theory of layer potentials has been developed in relation to boundary value problems in a Lipschitz domain.

Let

$$\Psi := \Big\{ \boldsymbol{\psi} : \partial_i \boldsymbol{\psi}_j + \partial_j \boldsymbol{\psi}_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 2 \Big\}.$$

or equivalently,

$$\Psi = \text{span} \bigg\{ \theta_1(x) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \theta_2(x) := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \theta_3(x) := \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{bmatrix} \bigg\}.$$

Introduce the space

$$L^2_\Psi(\partial D) := \Big\{ \mathbf{f} \in L^2(\partial D) : \int_{\partial D} \mathbf{f} \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi} \; d\sigma = 0 \text{ for all } \boldsymbol{\psi} \in \Psi \Big\}.$$

In particular, since Ψ contains constant functions, we get

$$\int_{\partial D} \mathbf{f} d\sigma = 0$$

for any $\mathbf{f} \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$. The following fact is useful later.

$$\text{If} \quad \mathbf{w} \in W^{1,\frac{3}{2}}(D) \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0,\mu_0}\mathbf{w} = 0 \quad \text{in } D, \quad \text{then} \quad \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_{\partial D} \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D). \tag{2.1}$$

The Kelvin matrix of fundamental solution $\mathbf{\Gamma}_j$ for the Lamé system $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_j,\mu_j}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$ is known to be

$$\mathbf{\Gamma}_j(x) = \frac{A_j}{2\pi}\log|x|\mathbf{I} - \frac{B_j}{2\pi}\frac{x\otimes x}{|x|^2}, \quad x \neq 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where

$$A_j = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{\mu_j} + \frac{1}{2\mu_j+\lambda_j}\Big) \quad \text{and} \quad B_j = \frac{1}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{\mu_j} - \frac{1}{2\mu_j+\lambda_j}\Big).$$

The single and double layer potentials of the density function $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ on $L^2(\partial D)$ associated with the Lamé parameters (λ_j, μ_j) are defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) = \int_{\partial D} \mathbf{\Gamma}_j(x-y)\boldsymbol{\phi}(y)d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) &= \int_{\partial D}\Bigg(\lambda_j \nabla_y \cdot \mathbf{\Gamma}_j(x-y)\otimes \mathbf{n}(y) \\ &\qquad + \mu_j\Big(\big[\nabla_y \mathbf{\Gamma}_j(x-y)\mathbf{n}(y)\big]^T + \nabla_y \mathbf{\Gamma}_j^T(x-y)\mathbf{n}(y)\Big)\Bigg)\boldsymbol{\phi}(y)d\sigma(y) \\ &:= \int_{\partial D}\mathbb{K}_j(x-y)\boldsymbol{\phi}(y)d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \partial D.\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

The followings are the well-known properties of the single and double layer potentials due to Dahlberg, Keing, and Verchota [11]. Let D be a Lipschitz bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then we have

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial \nu_j}\Big|_\pm(x) = \Big(\pm\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^*_{j,D}\Big)[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \partial D, \tag{2.5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big|_\pm(x) = \Big(\mp\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{I} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}_{j,D}\Big)[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \partial D, \tag{2.6}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}_{j,D}$ is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) = p.v.\int_{\partial D}\mathbb{K}_j(x-y)\boldsymbol{\phi}(y)d\sigma(y) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \partial D,$$

and $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^*_{j,D}$ is the adjoint operator of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}_{j,D}$, that is,

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^*_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) = p.v.\int_{\partial D}\mathbb{K}_j^T(x-y)\boldsymbol{\phi}(y)d\sigma(y) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \partial D.$$

Here $p.v.$ denotes the Cauchy principal value.

Let $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}$ be the standard double layer potential which is defined for any $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in L^2(\partial D)$ by

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) = \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j(x-y)}{\partial \mathbf{n}(y)} \boldsymbol{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \partial D. \tag{2.7}$$

The following lemma holds, for more details see [17].

Lemma 2.1 *Let D be a bounded Lipschitz domain in $\mathbb{R}^2$. For $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in L^2(\partial D)$*

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big|_{\pm}(x) = \Big(\mp \frac{1}{2\mu_j} \mathbf{I} \pm B_j \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{\sharp}_{j,D} \Big) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x) \quad a.e.\ x \in \partial D, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_{\pm}(x) = \Big(\pm \frac{1}{2\mu_j} \mathbf{I} \mp B_j \mathbf{n} \otimes \mathbf{n} + \big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}\big)^* \Big) \boldsymbol{\phi}(x) \quad a.e.\ x \in \partial D, \tag{2.9}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}$ is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) = p.v. \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}(y)} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j(x-y) \boldsymbol{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y) \quad a.e.\ x \in \partial D,$$

and $\big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}\big)^*$ is the adjoint operator of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}$, that is,

$$\big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{K}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}\big)^*[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) = p.v. \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{n}(x)} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_j(x-y) \boldsymbol{\phi}(y) d\sigma(y) \quad a.e.\ x \in \partial D.$$

Moreover, for $\boldsymbol{\phi} \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\alpha}(\partial D)$,

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial \nu_j}\Big|_{+} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial \nu_j}\Big|_{-} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} \Big((\boldsymbol{\phi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau}) \mathbf{n} + \frac{\lambda_j}{2\mu_j + \lambda_j} (\boldsymbol{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n}) \boldsymbol{\tau} \Big) \quad on\ \partial D. \tag{2.10}$$

Note that we drop the $p.v.$ in this stage; this is because ∂D is $\mathcal{C}^{2,\eta}$.

Denote by

$$\mathcal{X}(\partial D) := L^2(\partial D)^2, \quad \mathcal{X}_{\Psi}(\partial D) := L^2(\partial D) \times L^2_{\Psi}(\partial D), \quad \mathcal{Y}(\partial D) := W^2_1(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D),$$

where $W^2_1(\partial D)$ is the first L^2-Sobolev of space of order 1 on ∂D.

The following theorem is of particular importance to us for establishing our representation formula.

Theorem 2.2 *Suppose that $(\lambda_0 - \lambda_2)(\mu_0 - \mu_2) \geq 0$ and $0 < \lambda_2, \mu_2 < \infty$. For any given $(\mathbf{f}_1, \mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{g}_1, \mathbf{g}_2) \in \mathcal{Y}(\partial D) \times \mathcal{Y}(\partial D_\epsilon)$, there exists a unique solution $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0) \in \mathcal{X}(\partial D) \times \mathcal{X}(\partial D_\epsilon)$ to the following integral equations*

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\big|_{-} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]\big|_{+} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2] = \mathbf{f}_1 \quad on\ \partial D, \tag{2.11}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_{-} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_{+} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]}{\partial \nu_2} = \mathbf{f}_2 \quad on\ \partial D, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2] + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]\big|_{-} - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0]\big|_{+} = \mathbf{g}_1 \quad on\ \partial D_\epsilon, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial \nu_2} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_{-} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_{+} = \mathbf{g}_2 \quad on\ \partial D_\epsilon. \tag{2.14}$$

Moreover, if $(\mathbf{f}_2, \mathbf{g}_2) \in L^2_{\Psi}(\partial D) \times L^2_{\Psi}(\partial D_\epsilon)$, then $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0) \in L^2_{\Psi}(\partial D) \times L^2_{\Psi}(\partial D_\epsilon)$.

Proof. The unique solvability of the system of integral equations (2.11)-(2.14) can be done in exactly the same manner as in [21, Theorem 5.1] by using [12], see also [4]. By using (2.1), $\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]/\partial\nu_1\big|_-, \partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]/\partial\nu_2 \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$. It then follows from (2.12) that $\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]/\partial\nu_2\big|_+ \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$. Since

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_+ - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_-,$$

with $\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]/\partial\nu_2\big|_- \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$, we conclude that $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$. For any $\eta \in \Psi$, we have

$$\int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial\nu_2}\cdot \eta d\sigma = \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_+ \cdot \eta d\sigma = 0.$$

In order to justify the last equality, we use $\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]/\partial\nu_2\big|_+ \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$. Then $\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]/\partial\nu_2 \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D_\epsilon)$. It then follows from (2.14) that $\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0]/\partial\nu_0\big|_+ \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D_\epsilon)$. Thus

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0 = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0]}{\partial\nu_0}\Big|_+ - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0]}{\partial\nu_0}\Big|_- \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D_\epsilon).$$

This completes the proof.

We now prove a representation theorem for the solution of the transmission problem (1.5) which will be the main ingredient in deriving the asymptotic expansion in Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 2.3 *The solution* $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$ *to the problem* (1.5) *is represented by*

$$\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}(x) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0](x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\overline{D}_\epsilon, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2](x) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2](x), & x \in D_\epsilon\backslash\overline{D}, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1](x), & x \in D, \end{cases} \tag{2.15}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0) \in \mathcal{X}_\Psi(\partial D) \times \mathcal{X}_\Psi(\partial D_\epsilon)$ *is the unique solution to the following integral equations:*

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\big|_- - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]\big|_+ - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2] = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]}{\partial\nu_1}\Big|_- - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_+ - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]}{\partial\nu_2} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial D, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2] + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]\big|_- - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0]\big|_+ = \mathbf{H} \quad \text{on } \partial D_\epsilon, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial\nu_2} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_- - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0]}{\partial\nu_0}\Big|_+ = \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial\nu_0} \quad \text{on } \partial D_\epsilon. \tag{2.19}$$

Proof. Let $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0)$ be the unique solution of (2.16)-(2.19). Then clearly $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$ defined by (2.15) satisfies the transmission conditions (the conditions on the fourth and fifth lines in (1.5)). It is known that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0](x) = \Gamma_0(x)\int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0 d\sigma + O(|x|^{-1}) \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty.$$

Since $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0 \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D_\epsilon)$, which gives $\int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0 d\sigma = 0$, and then $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$ defined by (2.15) satisfies $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x) - \mathbf{H}(x) = O(|x|^{-1})$ as $|x| \to \infty$. This finishes the proof of the theorem.

We now introduce some notation. Let Φ_ϵ be the diffeomorphism from ∂D onto ∂D_ϵ given by $\tilde{x} = \Phi_\epsilon(x) = x + \epsilon h(x)\mathbf{n}(x)$, where $x = X(t) \in \partial D$. Define the operators $\mathcal{Q}_\epsilon$ and $\mathcal{R}_\epsilon$ from $L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D_\epsilon)$ into $\mathcal{Y}(\partial D)$ by

$$\mathcal{Q}_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}) := \left(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]\big|_- - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}] \circ \Phi_\epsilon\big|_+, \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}]}{\partial \nu_0} \circ \Phi_\epsilon\Big|_+ \right), \tag{2.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}) := \Bigg(& \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}] \circ \Phi_\epsilon - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]\big|_+ + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}] \circ \Phi_\epsilon\big|_- - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}], \\ & \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]}{\partial \nu_2} \circ \Phi_\epsilon - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_+ + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}]}{\partial \nu_2} \circ \Phi_\epsilon\Big|_- - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}]}{\partial \nu_2} \Bigg), \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

and the matrix-valued function $\mathcal{H}_\epsilon$ on ∂D by

$$\mathcal{H}_\epsilon(x) := \left(\mathbf{H}\big(x + \epsilon h(x)\mathbf{n}(x)\big), \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \nu_0}\big(x + \epsilon h(x)\mathbf{n}(x)\big) \right). \tag{2.22}$$

By adding (2.16) to (2.18) and (2.17) to (2.19), we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4 *Let $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0) \in \mathcal{X}_\Psi(\partial D) \times \mathcal{X}_\Psi(\partial D_\epsilon)$ be the unique solution of* (2.16)-(2.19)*; then $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0)$ and $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2)$ satisfy the following system of integral equations:*

$$\mathcal{Q}_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0) = \mathcal{H}_\epsilon - \mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2) \quad \text{ on } \partial D. \tag{2.23}$$

In the next section, we will provide asymptotic expansions of the layer potentials , which are appeared in the system of integral equations (2.23) with $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D_\epsilon)$ and $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D) \times \mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D_\epsilon)$. These asymptotic expansions will help us to derive the asymptotic expansion of the displacement field $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$.

3 Asymptotic expansions of layer potentials

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, with $a < b$, and let $X(t) : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ be the arclength parametrization of ∂D, namely, X is an $\mathcal{C}^2$-function satisfying $|X'(t)| = 1$ for all $t \in [a, b]$ and

$$\partial D := \{x = X(t), t \in [a, b]\}.$$

Then the outward unit normal to D, $\mathbf{n}(x)$, is given by $\mathbf{n}(x) = R_{-\frac{\pi}{2}} X'(t)$, where $R_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}$ is the rotation by $-\pi/2$, the tangential vector at x, $\boldsymbol{\tau}(x) = X'(t)$, and $X'(t) \perp X''(t)$. Set the curvature $\kappa(x)$ to be defined by

$$X''(t) = \kappa(x)\mathbf{n}(x).$$

We will sometimes use $h(t)$ for $h(X(t))$ and $h'(t)$ for the tangential derivative of $h(x)$.

Then, $\tilde{X}(t) = X(t) + \epsilon h(t)\mathbf{n}(x) = X(t) + \epsilon h(t) R_{-\frac{\pi}{2}} X'(t)$ is a parametrization of ∂D_ϵ. By $\mathbf{n}(\tilde{x})$, we denote the outward unit normal to ∂D_ϵ at $\tilde{x}$.

Let $\tilde{x} = x + \epsilon h(x)\mathbf{n}(x) \in \partial D_\epsilon$ for $x \in \partial D$. The following asymptotic expansions of $\mathbf{n}(\tilde{x})$ and the length element $d\sigma_\epsilon(\tilde{x})$ hold [6]:

$$\mathbf{n}(\tilde{x}) = \mathbf{n}(x) - \epsilon h'(t)\boldsymbol{\tau}(x) + O(\epsilon^2), \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$d\sigma_\epsilon(\tilde{x}) = \big(1 - \epsilon\kappa(x)h(x) + O(\epsilon^2)\big)d\sigma(x). \tag{3.2}$$

Here, the remainder term $O(\epsilon^2)$ is bounded by $C\epsilon^2$ for some constant C which depends only on $\mathcal{C}^2$-norm of ∂D and $\mathcal{C}^1$-norm of h.

Let $\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)$ and $\phi(x)$ be a vector function and scalar function, respectively, which belong to $\mathcal{C}^2([a,b])$ for $x = X(\cdot) \in \partial D$. By d/dt, we denote the tangential derivative in the direction of $\boldsymbol{\tau}(x) = X'(t)$. We have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)\big) = \nabla\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)X'(t) = \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}(x), \qquad \frac{d}{dt}\big(\phi(x)\big) = \nabla\phi(x)\cdot X'(t) = \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}(x).$$

The restriction of the Lamé system $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_j,\mu_j}$ in D to a neighborhood of ∂D can be expressed as follows [17]:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_j,\mu_j}\boldsymbol{\phi}(x) =& \mu_j\frac{\partial^2\boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial\mathbf{n}^2}(x) + \lambda_j\nabla\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)\cdot\mathbf{n}(x)\mathbf{n}(x) + \mu_j\nabla(\nabla\boldsymbol{\phi})^T(x)\mathbf{n}(x)\mathbf{n}(x)\\ &- \kappa(x)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\phi}}{\partial\nu_j}(x) + \frac{d}{dt}\Big(\big(\mathbb{C}_j\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\phi}(x)\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}(x)\Big), \quad x\in\partial D.\end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

We have from [17] the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \in L^2(\partial D_\epsilon)$, we denote by $\boldsymbol{\phi} := \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\circ\Phi_\epsilon$. For $j = 0, 2$, the following asymptotic expansions hold:*

$$\begin{aligned}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]\circ\Phi_\epsilon\big|_\pm =& \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}] - \epsilon\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}] + \epsilon\Big(h\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\mathbf{n}} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{j,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]\Big)\Big|_\pm\\ &+ O_1(\epsilon^2) \quad \text{on } \partial D,\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]}{\partial\nu_j}\circ\Phi_\epsilon\Big|_\pm =& \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_j}\Big|_\pm + \epsilon\Big(\kappa h\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_j} - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_j}\Big)\Big|_\pm\\ &+ \epsilon\Big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{j,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_j\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{j,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\Big)\Big|_\pm + O_2(\epsilon^2) \quad \text{on } \partial D,\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\|O_1(\epsilon^2)\|_{W^2_1(\partial D)}, \|O_2(\epsilon^2)\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \le C\epsilon^2$ for some constant C depends only on λ_j, μ_j, the $\mathcal{C}^2$-norm of X, and the $\mathcal{C}^1$-norm of h.

Now, we are going to derive asymptotic expansions of $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](\tilde{x})$ and $\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]/\partial\nu_2(\tilde{x})$ for $\boldsymbol{\phi}\in\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D)$, $\tilde{x} = x + \epsilon h(x)\mathbf{n}(x) \in \partial D_\epsilon$, and $x \in \partial D$. Because ∂D is $C^{2,\eta}$, $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]$ is

$\mathcal{C}^{2,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash D)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big|\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](\tilde{x}) - \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\big|_+ - \epsilon h(x)\nabla^2\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\mathbf{n}(x)\big|_+\Big| \\
&= \Big|\int_0^1 \Big[\nabla^2\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big(x + l(\tilde{x}-x)\big) - \nabla^2\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\big|_+\Big] dl(\tilde{x}-x)\Big| \\
&\le C|\tilde{x}-x|^{1+\eta}\big\|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2,\eta}(\mathbb{R}^2\backslash D)} \\
&\le C\epsilon^{1+\eta}\big\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\big\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D)}. \qquad (3.6)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\tilde{x}) &= \Big(\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\big|_+ + \epsilon h(x)\nabla^2\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\mathbf{n}(x)\big|_+ + O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\Big) \\
&\qquad\qquad \times \Big(\boldsymbol{\tau}(x) + \epsilon h'(t)\mathbf{n}(x) + O(\epsilon^2)\Big) \\
&= \frac{\partial}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) + \epsilon h(x)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\mathbf{n}}\Big|_+(x)\Big) + O(\epsilon^{1+\eta}), \quad x\in\partial D, \qquad (3.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\|_{L^2(\partial D)}$ is bounded by $C\epsilon^{1+\eta}\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D)}$. Similarly to (3.6), we get

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](\tilde{x}) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) + \epsilon h(x)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\mathbf{n}}\Big|_+(x) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad x\in\partial D, \qquad (3.8)$$

where $\|O(\epsilon^2)\|_{L^2(\partial D)}$ is bounded by $C\epsilon^2\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D)}$. In conclusion, we get from (3.7) and (3.8) that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](\tilde{x}) = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) + \epsilon h(x)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\mathbf{n}}\Big|_+(x) + O(\epsilon^{1+\eta}), \quad x\in\partial D, \qquad (3.9)$$

where $\|O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\|_{W_1^2(\partial D)}$ is bounded by $C\epsilon^{1+\eta}\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D)}$.

It follows from (1.4), (3.1), (3.3), and Taylor expansion that, for $\tilde{x}\in\partial D$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}(\tilde{x}) =& \lambda_2\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](\tilde{x})\mathbf{n}(\tilde{x}) + \mu_2\Big(\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](\tilde{x}) + \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}^T[\boldsymbol{\phi}](\tilde{x})\Big)\mathbf{n}(\tilde{x}) \\
=& \Big[\lambda_2\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) + \mu_2\Big(\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) + \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}^T[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\Big)\Big]\Big|_+\mathbf{n}(x) \\
&- \epsilon h'(t)\Big[\lambda_2\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) + \mu_2\Big(\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x) + \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}^T[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\Big)\Big]\Big|_+\boldsymbol{\tau}(x) \\
&+ \epsilon h(x)\Big[\lambda_2\nabla\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\cdot\mathbf{n}(x)\mathbf{n}(x) + \mu_2\nabla\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\mathbf{n}(x)\mathbf{n}(x) \\
&\qquad + \mu_2\nabla\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}^T[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\mathbf{n}(x)\mathbf{n}(x)\Big]\Big|_+ + O(\epsilon^{1+\eta}) \\
=& \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_+(x) + \epsilon\kappa(x)h(x)\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_+(x) \\
&- \epsilon\frac{d}{dt}\Big(h(x)\Big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}](x)\Big)\boldsymbol{\tau}(x)\Big)\Big|_+ + O(\epsilon^{1+\eta}), \quad x\in\partial D, \qquad (3.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\|O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\|_{L^2(\partial D)}$ is bounded by $C\epsilon^{1+\eta}\|\boldsymbol{\phi}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D)}$. In order to justify the last equality in (3.10), we use the representation of the Lamé system on ∂D given in (3.3).

We now expand $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}](x)$ and $\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]/\partial\nu_2(x)$ for $x\in\partial D$ when $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\in\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D_\epsilon)$. Let $\mathbf{f}$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}$ vector function on ∂D and let $\mathbf{w}$ be the solution to $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_2,\mu_2}\mathbf{w}=0$ in D satisfying $\mathbf{w}=\mathbf{f}$ on ∂D. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial D}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]}{\partial\nu_2}(x)\cdot\mathbf{f}(x)d\sigma(x)&=\int_{\partial D}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}](x)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial\nu_2}(x)d\sigma(x)\\&=\int_{\partial D_\epsilon}\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}(\tilde{x})\cdot\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}\Big[\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial\nu_2}\Big](\tilde{x})d\sigma(\tilde{x}).\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Define $\boldsymbol{\phi}:=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\circ\Phi_\epsilon$. By using (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial D}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]}{\partial\nu_2}\cdot\mathbf{f}d\sigma&=\int_{\partial D}\boldsymbol{\phi}\cdot\Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}\Big[\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial\nu_2}\Big]+\epsilon h\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}}{\partial\mathbf{n}}\Big[\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial\nu_2}\Big]\Big|_+ +O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\Big)\\&\qquad\times\Big(1-\epsilon\kappa h+O(\epsilon^2)\Big)d\sigma\\&=\int_{\partial D}\Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]+\epsilon\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{2,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big|_- -\epsilon\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}]\Big)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{w}}{\partial\nu_2}d\sigma+O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\\&=\int_{\partial D}\Big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_- +\epsilon\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{2,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_- -\epsilon\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_-\Big)\cdot\mathbf{f}d\sigma\\&\quad+O(\epsilon^{1+\eta}).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the following asymptotic expansion holds:

$$\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]}{\partial\nu_2}=\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_- +\epsilon\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{2,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_- -\epsilon\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\nu_2}\Big|_- +O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\quad\text{on }\partial D,\tag{3.12}$$

where the remainder term $O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})$ is in $L^2(\partial D)$.

Let $\boldsymbol{\phi}=\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\circ\Phi_\epsilon$ for $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\in\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D_\epsilon)$. Let $\mathbf{f}$ be a $\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}$ vector function on ∂D. Similarly to (3.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\partial D}\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}\cdot\mathbf{f}d\sigma&=-\int_{\partial D}\boldsymbol{\phi}\cdot\Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}\Big[\frac{\partial\mathbf{f}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big]+\epsilon h\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}}{\partial\mathbf{n}}\Big[\frac{\partial\mathbf{f}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big]\Big|_+ +O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\Big)\\&\qquad\times\Big(1-\epsilon\kappa h+O(\epsilon^2)\Big)d\sigma\\&=-\int_{\partial D}\Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]+\epsilon\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{2,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big|_- -\epsilon\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}]\Big)\cdot\frac{\partial\mathbf{f}}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}d\sigma+O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})\\&=\int_{\partial D}\Big(\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}+\epsilon\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{2,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big|_- -\epsilon\frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial\boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big)\cdot\mathbf{f}d\sigma+O(\epsilon^{1+\eta}).\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} + \epsilon \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{2,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big|_{-} - \epsilon \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}]}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} + O(\epsilon^{1+\eta}) \quad \text{on } \partial D, \tag{3.13}$$

where the remainder term $O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})$ is in $L^2(\partial D)$. In a similar way, we get

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}] + \epsilon \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{2,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big|_{-} - \epsilon \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}] + O(\epsilon^2) \quad \text{on } \partial D, \tag{3.14}$$

with the remainder term $O(\epsilon^2)$ is in $L^2(\partial D)$. It then follows from (3.13) and (3.14) that

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\phi}}] = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\phi}] + \epsilon \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{2,D}[h\boldsymbol{\phi}]\big|_{-} - \epsilon \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\phi}] + O(\epsilon^{1+\eta}) \quad \text{on } \partial D, \tag{3.15}$$

where the remainder term $O(\epsilon^{1+\eta})$ is in $W^2_1(\partial D)$.

The following proposition is a direct consequence of (2.20), (2.21), (3.4), (3.5), (3.9), (3.10), (3.12), and (3.15).

Proposition 3.2 *The following expansions hold on ∂D:*

$$\mathcal{Q}_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}) = \mathcal{Q}_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) - \epsilon \mathcal{Q}_1(\boldsymbol{\psi}) + O(\epsilon^2) \quad \textit{for } (\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D_\epsilon),$$

$$\mathcal{R}_\epsilon(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}) = \epsilon \mathcal{R}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) + o(\epsilon) \quad \textit{for } (\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}) \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D) \times \mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D_\epsilon),$$

where $\boldsymbol{\psi} = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}} \circ \Phi_\epsilon$, the remainder terms $O(\epsilon^2)$ and $o(\epsilon)$ are in $W^2_1(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$, and the operators $\mathcal{Q}_0 : \mathcal{X}(\partial D) \to \mathcal{Y}(\partial D)$, $\mathcal{Q}_1 : L^2(\partial D) \to \mathcal{Y}(\partial D)$, and $\mathcal{R}_1 : \mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D) \times \mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D) \to \mathcal{Y}(\partial D)$ are defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}] - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}], \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_{-} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_{+} \Big), \tag{3.16}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_1(\boldsymbol{\psi}) = \Big(& - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\psi}] + h \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_{+} + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{0,D}[h\boldsymbol{\psi}]\Big|_{+}, \\ & \kappa h \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_{+} - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa\ h\boldsymbol{\psi}]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_{+} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^{\sharp}_{0,D}[h\boldsymbol{\psi}]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_{+} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} \Big(h \big(\mathbb{C}_0 \widehat{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}] \big) \boldsymbol{\tau} \Big)\Big|_{+} \Big), \end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}, \boldsymbol{\psi}) = \Big(& h \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_{+} + h \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_{-}, \kappa h \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_{+} + \kappa h \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_{-} \\ & - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} \Big(h \big(\mathbb{C}_2 \widehat{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}] \big) \boldsymbol{\tau} \Big)\Big|_{+} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}} \Big(h \big(\mathbb{C}_2 \widehat{\nabla} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}] \big) \boldsymbol{\tau} \Big)\Big|_{-} \Big). \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

The following proposition holds.

Proposition 3.3 *Let $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0) \in L^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D_\epsilon)$ be the solution of* (2.16)-(2.19)*. Then the following asymptotic expansion holds:*

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) - \epsilon \big[\mathcal{Q}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) - \mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1) \big] = \mathcal{H}_\epsilon + o(\epsilon) \quad \textit{on } \partial D, \tag{3.19}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0 = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0 \circ \Phi_\epsilon$*, the remainder term* $o(\epsilon)$ *is in* $W_1^2(\partial D) \times L^2(\partial D)$*,* $\mathcal{H}_\epsilon$ *is defined by* (2.22)*,* $\mathcal{Q}_0$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}_1$ *are defined in* (3.16) *and* (3.17)*, respectively, and the operator* $\mathcal{Z}$ *is defined from* $L^2(\partial D)$ *into* $\mathcal{Y}(\partial D)$ *by*

$$\mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1) := \Big(h\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_- + h\Big(\mathbb{K}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\Big)\mathbf{n}\Big|_-, \\ \kappa h\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\Big|_-\Big), \quad (3.20)$$

with

$$\mathbb{M}_{2,1} = \frac{\lambda_2(\lambda_1+2\mu_1)}{\lambda_2+2\mu_2}\mathbf{I}\otimes\mathbf{I} + 2\mu_1\mathbb{I} + \frac{4(\mu_2-\mu_1)(\lambda_2+\mu_2)}{\lambda_2+2\mu_2}\mathbf{I}\otimes(\boldsymbol{\tau}\otimes\boldsymbol{\tau}),$$

$$\mathbb{K}_{2,1} = \frac{(\lambda_1-\lambda_2)\mu_2 + 2(\mu_2-\mu_1)(\lambda_2+\mu_2)}{\mu_2(\lambda_2+2\mu_2)}\mathbf{I}\otimes\mathbf{I} + 2\big(\frac{\mu_1}{\mu_2}-1\big)\mathbb{I} \\ + \frac{2(\mu_1-\mu_2)(\lambda_2+\mu_2)}{\mu_2(\lambda_2+2\mu_2)}\mathbf{I}\otimes(\boldsymbol{\tau}\otimes\boldsymbol{\tau}).$$

Proof. It follows from the lemma 2.4 and the proposition 3.2 that

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1,\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) - \epsilon\big[\mathcal{Q}_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0) - \mathcal{R}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2,\boldsymbol{\psi}_2)\big] = \mathcal{H}_\epsilon + o(\epsilon) \quad \text{on } \partial D, \quad (3.21)$$

where the remainder term $o(\epsilon)$ is in $W_1^2(\partial D)\times L^2(\partial D)$ and

$$\mathcal{R}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2,\boldsymbol{\psi}_2) = \Big(h\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_+ + h\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_-, \kappa h\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_+ + \kappa h\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_- \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\Big|_+ - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2]\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\Big|_-\Big).$$

Let $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1,\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2)\in L^2(\partial D)\times\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D)\times\mathcal{C}^{1,\eta}(\partial D_\epsilon)$ be the solution of (2.16)-(2.19). According to Appendix, $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1,\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2,\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2)$ satisfies the following equations along the interface ∂D:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_+ + \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]}{\partial \nu_2} = \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_-, \\ \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]\,\mathbf{n}\big|_+ + \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]\,\mathbf{n} = \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\,\mathbf{n}\big|_- + \big(\mathbb{K}_{2,1}\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\big)\mathbf{n}\big|_-, \\ \Big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]\Big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big|_+ + \Big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2]\Big)\boldsymbol{\tau} = \Big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\Big)\Big|_-\boldsymbol{\tau}. \end{cases}$$

Let $\boldsymbol{\psi}_2 = \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2\circ\Phi_\epsilon$. The following asymptotic expansions hold on ∂D:

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_+ + \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_- + o(1) = \dfrac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_-, \\ \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]\,\mathbf{n}\big|_+ + \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2]\,\mathbf{n}\big|_- + o(1) = \nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\,\mathbf{n}\big|_- + \big(\mathbb{K}_{2,1}\nabla\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\big)\mathbf{n}\big|_-, \\ \Big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2]\Big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big|_+ + \Big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2]\Big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big|_- + o(1) = \Big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1]\Big)\Big|_-\boldsymbol{\tau}, \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

where $o(1)$ is in $W_1^2(\partial D)$, which implies $\mathcal{R}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2,\boldsymbol{\psi}_2) = \mathbb{Z}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1) + o(1)$ on ∂D. Therefore, (3.21) holds, as claimed.

4 Asymptotic expansion of the displacement field

The following lemma is important to us.

Lemma 4.1 *Suppose that* $(\lambda_0 - \lambda_1)(\mu_0 - \mu_1) \geq 0$ *and* $0 < \lambda_1, \mu_1 < \infty$. *For any given* $(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}) \in \mathcal{Y}(\partial D)$, *there exists a unique pair* $(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) \in \mathcal{X}(\partial D)$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) - \epsilon\big[\mathcal{Q}_1(\mathbf{f}) - \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{g})\big] = \big(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G}\big). \tag{4.1}$$

Furthermore, there exists a constant C *depending only on* $\lambda_0, \mu_0, \lambda_1, \mu_1$, *and the Lipschitz character of* D *such that*

$$\|\mathbf{f}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \|\mathbf{g}\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C\Big(\|\mathbf{F}\|_{W_1^2(\partial D)} + \|\mathbf{G}\|_{L^2(\partial D)}\Big). \tag{4.2}$$

Proof. The operator $\mathcal{Q} : \mathcal{X}(\partial D) \to \mathcal{Y}(\partial D)$ defined by $\mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}) = \mathcal{Q}_1(\mathbf{f}) - \mathcal{Z}(\mathbf{g})$ is bounded on $\mathcal{X}(\partial D)$. It is proved in [12] that the operator $\mathcal{Q}_0 : \mathcal{X}(\partial D) \to \mathcal{Y}(\partial D)$ is invertible. For ϵ small enough, it follows from Theorem 1.16, section 4 of [14], that the operator $\mathcal{Q}_0 - \epsilon\mathcal{Q}$ is invertible. This completes the proof of solvability of (4.1). The estimate (4.2) is a consequence of solvability and the closed graph theorem.

4.1 Proof of the theorem 1.1

For $\tilde{x} = x + \epsilon h(x)\mathbf{n}(x) \in \partial D_\epsilon$. We have the following Taylor expansion

$$\mathbf{H}(\tilde{x}) = \mathbf{H}(x) + \epsilon h(x)\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mathbf{n}}(x) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad x \in \partial D. \tag{4.3}$$

Similarly, by the Taylor expansion, (3.1), and (3.3), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \nu_0}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \nu_0}(x) + \epsilon\kappa(x)h(x)\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \nu_0}(x) - \epsilon\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{H}\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)(x) + O(\epsilon^2), \quad x \in \partial D. \tag{4.4}$$

It then follows from (2.22), (4.3), and (4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_\epsilon &= \Big(\mathbf{H}, \frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \nu_0}\Big) + \epsilon\Big(h\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mathbf{n}}, \kappa h\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \nu_0} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\big(h\big[\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{H}\big]\boldsymbol{\tau}\big)\Big) + O(\epsilon^2)\\ &:= \mathcal{H}_0 + \epsilon\mathcal{H}_1 + O(\epsilon^2) \quad \text{ on } \partial D.\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

Now, we introduce $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0)$ and $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1)$ by the following recursive relations

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0) = \mathcal{H}_0, \tag{4.6}$$

$$\mathcal{Q}_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1) = \mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0) - \mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0), \tag{4.7}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}_0$, $\mathcal{Q}_1$, and $\mathcal{Z}$ are defined in (3.16), (3.17), and (3.20), respectively. One can see the existence and uniqueness of $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^n, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^n)$ for $n = 0, 1$, by using [12].

Let $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0)$ be the solution of (2.16)-(2.19). It follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{Q}_0(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0 - \epsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0 \circ \Phi_\epsilon - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0 - \epsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1) - \epsilon\big[\mathcal{Q}_1(\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0 \circ \Phi_\epsilon - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0 - \epsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1) - \mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0 - \epsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1)\big]\\ &\quad = \mathcal{H}_\epsilon - \mathcal{H}_0 - \epsilon\mathcal{H}_1 + o(\epsilon) \quad \text{ on } \partial D,\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

where $\|o(\epsilon)\|_{W_1^2(\partial D)\times L^2(\partial D)} \leq C\epsilon^{1+\eta}$ for some $\eta > 0$ and $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0)$ and $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1)$ are the solutions to (4.6) and (4.7), respectively.

The following lemma follows immediately from (4.5), (4.8), and the estimate in (4.2).

Lemma 4.2 *Let $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0)$ be the solution of (2.16)-(2.19). For ϵ small enough, there exists C depending only on (λ_j, μ_j) for j=0,1,2, the $\mathcal{C}^2$-norm of X, and the $\mathcal{C}^1$-norm of h such that*

$$\left\|\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1 - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0 - \epsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1\right\|_{L^2(\partial D)} + \left\|\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0 \circ \Phi_\epsilon - \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0 - \epsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1\right\|_{L^2(\partial D)} \leq C\epsilon^{1+\eta} \tag{4.9}$$

for some $\eta > 0$, where $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0)$ and $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1)$ are the solutions to (4.6) and (4.7), respectively.

Recall that the domain D is separated apart from Ω, then

$$\sup_{x\in\Omega, y\in\partial D} \left|\partial^i \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0(x-y)\right| \leq C, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}^2,$$

for some constant C depending on $dist(D, \Omega)$. After the change of variables $\tilde{y} = \Phi_\epsilon(y)$, we get from (3.2), (4.9), and the Taylor expansion of $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0(x-\tilde{y})$ in $y \in \partial D$ for each fixed $x \in \Omega$ that

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D_\epsilon}[\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0](x) =& \int_{\partial D_\epsilon} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0(x-\tilde{y})\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\varphi}}_0(\tilde{y})d\sigma(\tilde{y}) \\
=& \int_{\partial D} \Big(\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0(x-y) + \epsilon h(y)\nabla\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_0(x-y)\mathbf{n}(y)\Big)\Big(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0(y) + \epsilon\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1(y)\Big) \\
& \qquad \times \Big(1 - \epsilon\kappa(y)h(y)\Big)d\sigma(y) + o(\epsilon) \\
=& \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x) + \epsilon\Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1](x) - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^\sharp[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x)\Big) + o(\epsilon),
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain from (2.15) that for $x \in \Omega$,

$$\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x) = \mathbf{H}(x) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x) + \epsilon\Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1](x) - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^\sharp[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x)\Big) + o(\epsilon). \tag{4.10}$$

According to [4] (see also [5, 8]), the solution $\mathbf{u}$ to (1.7) is represented as

$$\mathbf{u}(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{H}(x) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\overline{D}, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0](x), & x \in D, \end{cases} \tag{4.11}$$

where $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0)$ is the unique solution of (4.6).

The following theorem follows immediately from (4.10) and (4.11).

Theorem 4.3 *For ϵ small enough. The following pointwise expansion holds for $x \in \Omega$*

$$\mathbf{u}_\epsilon(x) = \mathbf{u}(x) + \epsilon\Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1](x) - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^\sharp[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x)\Big) + o(\epsilon), \tag{4.12}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0$ and $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1$ are defined by (4.6) and (4.7), respectively. The remainder $o(\epsilon)$ depends only on (λ_j, μ_j) for j=0,1,2, the $\mathcal{C}^2$-norm of X, the $\mathcal{C}^1$-norm of h, and $dist(\Omega, D)$.

We now prove a representation theorem for the solution of the transmission problem (1.8) which will help us to derive the theorem 1.1.

Theorem 4.4 *The solution* $\mathbf{u}_1$ *of* (1.8) *is represented by*

$$\mathbf{u}_1(x) = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1](x) - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^{\sharp}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{D}, \\ \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1](x), & x \in D, \end{cases} \tag{4.13}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0$ *and* $(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1)$ *are defined by* (4.6) *and* (4.7)*, respectively.*

Proof. One can easily see that

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0,\mu_0}\mathbf{u}_1 = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2\backslash\overline{D}, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\lambda_1,\mu_1}\mathbf{u}_1 = 0 \quad \text{in } D.$$

It follows from (4.7), (4.11), and (4.32) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u}_1^i - \mathbf{u}_1^e =& \Big(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1] - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1]\Big) + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0] - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^{\sharp}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]\big|_+ \\ =& \Big[\mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0) - \mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0)\Big]_1 + \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0] - \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^{\sharp}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]\big|_+ \\ =& h\Big(\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \mathbf{n}} + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_+ - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0]}{\partial \mathbf{n}}\Big|_-\Big) - h\Big(\mathbb{K}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0]\Big)\mathbf{n}\Big|_- \\ =& h\big(\nabla \mathbf{u}^e\mathbf{n} - \nabla \mathbf{u}^i\mathbf{n}\big) - h\big(\mathbb{K}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\mathbf{n} \\ =& h\Big(\big(\mathbb{K}_{0,1} - \mathbb{K}_{2,1}\big)\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\Big)\mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } \partial D. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4.7) and (4.30), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ =& \Big(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+\Big) + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^{\sharp}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ \\ =& \Big[\mathcal{H}_1 + \mathcal{Q}_1(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0) - \mathcal{Z}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0)\Big]_2 + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^{\sharp}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ \\ =& \kappa h\frac{\partial \mathbf{H}}{\partial \nu_0} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{H})\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]\big)\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\Big|_+ \\ & + \kappa h\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ - \kappa h\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^0]\big)\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\Big|_- \\ =& \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\big(h(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i)\boldsymbol{\tau}\big) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\big(h(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^e)\boldsymbol{\tau}\big) \\ =& \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\big(h([\mathbb{M}_{2,1} - \mathbb{M}_{0,1}]\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i)\boldsymbol{\tau}\big). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us check the condition

$$\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x) \to 0 \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty. \tag{4.14}$$

To do this, we rewrite the second component of the equation (4.7)

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1]}{\partial \nu_1}\Big|_- - \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h \boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ = \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}_{0,D}^\sharp[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial \nu_0}\Big|_+ + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^e\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big). \tag{4.15}$$

By (2.1), $\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{1,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_1^1]/\partial \nu_1\big|_- \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$. It is clear that

$$\int_{\partial D}\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^e\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\Big]\cdot\theta_m\, d\sigma = 0 \quad \text{for } m = 1, 2.$$

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\partial D}\frac{d}{dt}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^e(x)\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}(x)\Big)\cdot\theta_3(x)d\sigma &= -\int_{\partial D} h(x)\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^e(x)\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}(x)\cdot\mathbf{n}(x)d\sigma \\
&= -\mu_0\int_{\partial D} h(x)\Big(\nabla\mathbf{u}^e(x) + (\nabla\mathbf{u}^e)^T(x)\Big)\boldsymbol{\tau}(x)\cdot\mathbf{n}(x)d\sigma \\
&= -\mu_0\int_{\partial D} h(x)\Big(\nabla\mathbf{u}^e(x) + (\nabla\mathbf{u}^e)^T(x)\Big)\mathbf{n}(x)\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}(x)d\sigma \\
&= -\int_{\partial D} h(x)\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^e}{\partial \nu}(x)\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}(x)d\sigma.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

We observe that

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 = \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2^0 + O(\epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_2\circ\Phi_\epsilon = \boldsymbol{\psi}_2^0 + O(\epsilon) \quad \text{on } \partial D. \tag{4.17}$$

Substituting (4.17) into the third line of (3.22), we get

$$\Big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2^0]\Big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big|_+ + \Big(\mathbb{C}_2\widehat{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2^0]\Big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big|_- = \big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\Big|_-\boldsymbol{\tau}.$$

Similarly to (4.16), one can easily see that

$$\int_{\partial D}\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\cdot\theta_3 d\sigma = -\int_{\partial D} h\Big(\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2^0]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_+ + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2^0]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_-\Big)\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau}d\sigma.$$

By using $\partial\mathbf{u}_\epsilon/\partial\nu_0|_+ = \partial\mathbf{u}_\epsilon/\partial\nu_2|_-$ on ∂D_ϵ, we deduce from (3.5), (3.10), and (4.4) that

$$\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_2^0]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_+ + \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{2,D}[\boldsymbol{\psi}_2^0]}{\partial \nu_2}\Big|_- = \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}^e}{\partial \nu_0} \quad \text{on } \partial D,$$

which gives

$$\int_{\partial D}\Big[\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^e\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\Big]\cdot\theta_3 d\sigma = 0,$$

and thus

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{M}_{2,1}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big(\mathbb{C}_0\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^e\big)\boldsymbol{\tau} \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D).$$

It then follows from (4.15) that $\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]/\partial\nu_0\big|_+ + \partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{0,D}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]/\partial\nu_0\big|_+ \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}
&\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau})\mathbf{n} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2\mu_0+\lambda_0}h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\cdot\mathbf{n})\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\\
&\quad= \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_D}{\partial\nu_0}\big[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\big]\Big|_+ + \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{0,D}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial\nu_0}\Big|_+ - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_D}{\partial\nu_0}\big[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\big]\Big|_- - \frac{\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{0,D}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]}{\partial\nu_0}\Big|_-,
\end{aligned}$$

with $\partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]/\partial\nu_0\big|_-, \partial\boldsymbol{\mathcal{D}}^\sharp_{0,D}[h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0]/\partial\nu_0\big|_- \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D)$, see (2.1). Then

$$\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau})\mathbf{n} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2\mu_0+\lambda_0}h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\cdot\mathbf{n})\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) \in L^2_\Psi(\partial D).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}_{0,D}[\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0](x) &= \Gamma(x)\int_{\partial D}(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0)d\sigma + O(|x|^{-1})\\
&= \Gamma(x)\int_{\partial D}\left(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^1 - \kappa h\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau})\mathbf{n} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2\mu_0+\lambda_0}h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\cdot\mathbf{n})\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)\right)d\sigma\\
&\quad - \Gamma(x)\int_{\partial D}\frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\cdot\boldsymbol{\tau})\mathbf{n} + \frac{\lambda_0}{2\mu_0+\lambda_0}h(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_0^0\cdot\mathbf{n})\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big)d\sigma + O(|x|^{-1})\\
&= O(|x|^{-1}) \quad \text{as } |x|\to\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathbf{u}_1$ defined by (4.13) satisfies $\mathbf{u}_1(x) = O(|x|^{-1})$ as $|x|\to\infty$. This completes the proof of the theorem 4.4.

The main theorem 1.1 immediately follows from the integral representation of $\mathbf{u}_1$ in (4.13) and the theorem 4.3.

4.2 Proof of the theorem 1.2

The following corollary can be proved as in exactly the same manner as Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 4.5 *Let $\mathbf{u}_\epsilon$ and $\mathbf{u}$ be the solutions to* (1.5) *and* (1.7)*, respectively. Let Ω be a bounded region away from ∂D. For $x\in\Omega$, the following pointwise asymptotic expansion holds:*

$$\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}_\epsilon}{\partial\nu_0}(x) = \frac{\partial\mathbf{u}}{\partial\nu_0}(x) + \epsilon\frac{\partial\mathbf{u}_1}{\partial\nu_0}(x) + o(\epsilon), \tag{4.18}$$

where the remainder $o(\epsilon)$ depends only on (λ_j,μ_j) for j=0,1,2, the $\mathcal{C}^2$-norm of X, the $\mathcal{C}^1$-norm of h, and dist$(\Omega,\partial D)$, and $\mathbf{u}_1$ is the unique solution of (1.8).

Let us note simple, but important relations.

Lemma 4.6 *1. If $\mathbf{f} \in W^{1,2}(D)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0,\mu_0}\mathbf{f} = 0$ in D, then for all $\mathbf{g} \in W^{1,2}(D)$,*

$$\int_{\partial D} \mathbf{g} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \nu_0}\, d\sigma = \int_D \lambda_0 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}) + \frac{\mu_0}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{f} + \nabla \mathbf{f}^T) : (\nabla \mathbf{g} + \nabla \mathbf{g}^T) d\sigma. \tag{4.19}$$

2. If $\mathbf{f} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{D})$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda_0,\mu_0}\mathbf{f} = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{D}$, $\mathbf{f}(x) = O(|x|^{-1})$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Then for all $\mathbf{g} \in W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{D})$, $\mathbf{g}(x) = O(|x|^{-1})$ as $|x| \to \infty$, we have

$$-\int_{\partial D} \mathbf{g} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{f}}{\partial \nu_0}\, d\sigma = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2 \backslash \overline{D}} \lambda_0 (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{f})(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{g}) + \frac{\mu_0}{2}(\nabla \mathbf{f} + \nabla \mathbf{f}^T) : (\nabla \mathbf{g} + \nabla \mathbf{g}^T) d\sigma. \tag{4.20}$$

Here, for 2×2 matrices $\mathbf{M}$ and $\mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{M} : \mathbf{N} = \sum_{ij} \mathbf{M}_{ij}\mathbf{N}_{ij}$.

Let S be a Lipschitz closed curve enclosing D away from ∂D. Let $\mathbf{v}$ be the solution to (1.9). It follows from (1.6), (4.18), and (4.20) that

$$\int_S (\mathbf{u}_\epsilon - \mathbf{u}) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{F}}{\partial \nu_0} d\sigma - \int_S \big(\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_\epsilon}{\partial \nu_0} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial \nu_0}\big) \cdot \mathbf{F} d\sigma = \epsilon \int_S \Big(\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \nu_0} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial \nu_0} \cdot \mathbf{v}\Big) d\sigma + o(\epsilon).$$

By using Lemma 4.6 to the integral on the right-hand side, we get

$$\int_S \Big(\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \nu_0} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial \nu_0} \cdot \mathbf{v}\Big) d\sigma = \int_{\partial D} \Big(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^e}{\partial \nu_0} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1^e - \mathbf{v}^e \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1^e}{\partial \nu_0}\Big) d\sigma.$$

According to the jump conditions for $\mathbf{u}_1$ in (1.8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_S \Big(\mathbf{u}_1 \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial \nu_0} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1}{\partial \nu_0} \cdot \mathbf{v}\Big) d\sigma = &\int_{\partial D} \Big(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^i}{\partial \nu_1} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1^i - \mathbf{v}^i \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1^i}{\partial \nu_1}\Big) d\sigma \\ &- \int_{\partial D} h\Big((\mathbb{K}_{0,1} - \mathbb{K}_{2,1})\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\Big)\mathbf{n} \cdot (\mathbb{C}_1 \widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{v}^i)\mathbf{n} d\sigma \\ &+ \int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big([\mathbb{M}_{2,1} - \mathbb{M}_{0,1}]\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) \cdot \mathbf{v}^i d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

It follows from (4.19) that

$$\int_{\partial D} \Big(\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}^i}{\partial \nu_1} \cdot \mathbf{u}_1^i - \mathbf{v}^i \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}_1^i}{\partial \nu_1}\Big) d\sigma = 0. \tag{4.22}$$

We have

$$\int_{\partial D} \frac{\partial}{\partial \boldsymbol{\tau}}\Big(h\big([\mathbb{M}_{2,1} - \mathbb{M}_{0,1}]\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}\Big) \cdot \mathbf{v}^i d\sigma = -\int_{\partial D} h\big([\mathbb{M}_{2,1} - \mathbb{M}_{0,1}]\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^i \boldsymbol{\tau} d\sigma. \tag{4.23}$$

One can easily check that

$$\big([\mathbb{M}_{2,1} - \mathbb{M}_{0,1}]\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}^i \boldsymbol{\tau} = \big([\mathbb{M}_{2,1} - \mathbb{M}_{0,1}]\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{u}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{v}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}. \tag{4.24}$$

We finally obtain from (4.21)-(4.24) the relationship between traction-displacement measurements and the shape deformation h (1.10), as desired.

Appendix

Let $\mathbf{w}$ be the solution of $\nabla \cdot \big(\mathbb{C}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}\big) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^2$, where $\mathbb{C} := \mathbb{C}_l\chi_{\mathbb{R}^2\setminus\overline{D}} + \mathbb{C}_k\chi_D$. Then $\mathbf{w}$ satisfies the transmission conditions along the interface ∂D:

$$\mathbf{w}^i = \mathbf{w}^e, \tag{4.25}$$

$$\nabla\mathbf{w}^i\boldsymbol{\tau} = \nabla\mathbf{w}^e\boldsymbol{\tau}, \tag{4.26}$$

$$\langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^i\boldsymbol{\tau},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle = \langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\boldsymbol{\tau},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle, \tag{4.27}$$

$$\lambda_k\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}^i + 2\mu_k\langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^i\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\rangle = \lambda_l\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}^e + 2\mu_l\langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\rangle, \tag{4.28}$$

$$\mu_k\langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^i\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle = \mu_l\langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle. \tag{4.29}$$

We have from [17] the following lemma.

Lemma 4.7 *We have the following identities along the interface ∂D*

$$\big(\mathbb{C}_l\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\big)\boldsymbol{\tau} = \big(\mathbb{M}_{l,k}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}, \tag{4.30}$$

$$\big(\mathbb{C}_k\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^i\big)\boldsymbol{\tau} = \big(\mathbb{M}_{k,l}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\big)\boldsymbol{\tau}, \tag{4.31}$$

$$\nabla\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n} - \nabla\mathbf{w}^i\mathbf{n} = \big(\mathbb{K}_{l,k}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^i\big)\mathbf{n} = -\big(\mathbb{K}_{k,l}\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\big)\mathbf{n}, \tag{4.32}$$

where the 4-tensors $\mathbb{M}_{l,k}$ and $\mathbb{K}_{l,k}$ are defined by:

$$\mathbb{M}_{l,k} := \frac{\lambda_l(\lambda_k+2\mu_k)}{\lambda_l+2\mu_l}\mathbf{I}\otimes\mathbf{I} + 2\mu_k\mathbb{I} + \frac{4(\mu_l-\mu_k)(\lambda_l+\mu_l)}{\lambda_l+2\mu_l}\mathbf{I}\otimes(\boldsymbol{\tau}\otimes\boldsymbol{\tau}),$$

$$\mathbb{K}_{l,k} := \frac{\mu_l(\lambda_k-\lambda_l)+2(\mu_l-\mu_k)(\lambda_l+\mu_l)}{\mu_l(\lambda_l+2\mu_l)}\mathbf{I}\otimes\mathbf{I} + 2\big(\frac{\mu_k}{\mu_l}-1\big)\mathbb{I}$$
$$+ \frac{2(\mu_k-\mu_l)(\lambda_l+\mu_l)}{\mu_l(\lambda_l+2\mu_l)}\mathbf{I}\otimes(\boldsymbol{\tau}\otimes\boldsymbol{\tau}).$$

Proof. Recalling that

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}^e = \widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e : \mathbf{I} = tr(\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e) = \langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\rangle + \langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\boldsymbol{\tau},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle. \tag{4.33}$$

Here $\langle,\rangle$ denotes the scalar product in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

One can easily get from (4.27), (4.28), and (4.33) that

$$\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}^e = \frac{\lambda_k+2\mu_k}{\lambda_l+2\mu_l}\nabla\cdot\mathbf{w}^i + \frac{2(\mu_l-\mu_k)}{\lambda_l+2\mu_l}\langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^i\boldsymbol{\tau},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle. \tag{4.34}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n} &= \langle\nabla\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\rangle\mathbf{n} + \langle\nabla\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle\boldsymbol{\tau}\\ &= \langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\rangle\mathbf{n} + 2\langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle\boldsymbol{\tau} - \langle(\nabla\mathbf{w}^e)^T\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle\boldsymbol{\tau}\\ &= \langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\mathbf{n}\rangle\mathbf{n} + 2\langle\widehat{\nabla}\mathbf{w}^e\mathbf{n},\boldsymbol{\tau}\rangle\boldsymbol{\tau} - \langle\nabla\mathbf{w}^e\boldsymbol{\tau},\mathbf{n}\rangle\boldsymbol{\tau}.\end{aligned}$$

According to (4.33), we get

$$\nabla \mathbf{w}^e \mathbf{n} = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^e)\mathbf{n} - \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^e \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \mathbf{n} + 2\langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^e \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} - \langle \nabla \mathbf{w}^e \boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau}.$$

In a similar way as before, we write

$$\nabla \mathbf{w}^i \mathbf{n} = (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^i)\mathbf{n} - \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \mathbf{n} + 2\langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} - \langle \nabla \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau}.$$

It then follows from (4.29), (4.33), and (4.34) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \mathbf{w}^e \mathbf{n} - \nabla \mathbf{w}^i \mathbf{n} &= (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^e - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^i)\mathbf{n} + 2\langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^e \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} - 2\langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} \\
&= \Big(\frac{\lambda_k + 2\mu_k}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} - 1 \Big) (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^i)\mathbf{n} + 2\big(\frac{\mu_k}{\mu_l} - 1 \big) \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} \\
&\quad + \frac{2(\mu_l - \mu_k)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \mathbf{n} \\
&= \Big(\frac{\lambda_k + 2\mu_k}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} - 1 - 2\big(\frac{\mu_k}{\mu_l} - 1 \big) \Big) (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^i)\mathbf{n} + 2\big(\frac{\mu_k}{\mu_l} - 1 \big) \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \mathbf{n} \\
&\quad + \Big(\frac{2(\mu_l - \mu_k)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} + 2\big(\frac{\mu_k}{\mu_l} - 1 \big) \Big) \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \mathbf{n} \\
&= \frac{\mu_l(\lambda_k - \lambda_l) + 2(\mu_l - \mu_k)(\lambda_l + \mu_l)}{\mu_l(\lambda_l + 2\mu_l)} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^i)\mathbf{n} + 2\big(\frac{\mu_k}{\mu_l} - 1 \big) \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \mathbf{n} \\
&\quad + \frac{2(\mu_k - \mu_l)(\lambda_l + \mu_l)}{\mu_l(\lambda_l + 2\mu_l)} \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \mathbf{n} \\
&= \big(\mathbb{K}_{l,k} \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \big) \mathbf{n} \quad \text{on } \partial D.
\end{aligned}$$

We obtain from (4.27)-(4.29), and (4.34) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\big(\mathbb{C}_l \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^e \big) \boldsymbol{\tau} &= \lambda_l (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^e) \boldsymbol{\tau} + 2\mu_l (\widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^e) \boldsymbol{\tau} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_l(\lambda_k + 2\mu_k)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^i) \boldsymbol{\tau} + \frac{2\lambda_l(\mu_l - \mu_k)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} \\
&\quad + 2\mu_l \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} + 2\mu_k \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \mathbf{n} \rangle \mathbf{n} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_l(\lambda_k + 2\mu_k)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^i) \boldsymbol{\tau} + \frac{2\lambda_l(\mu_l - \mu_k)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} \\
&\quad + 2\mu_k \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau} + 2\mu_l \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} - 2\mu_k \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} \\
&= \frac{\lambda_l(\lambda_k + 2\mu_k)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{w}^i) \boldsymbol{\tau} + 2\mu_k \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau} + \frac{4(\mu_l - \mu_k)(\lambda_l + \mu_l)}{\lambda_l + 2\mu_l} \langle \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{\tau} \rangle \boldsymbol{\tau} \\
&= \big(\mathbb{M}_{l,k} \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i \big) \boldsymbol{\tau} \quad \text{on } \partial D.
\end{aligned}$$

The identities $(\mathbb{C}_k \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^i)\boldsymbol{\tau} = \big(\mathbb{M}_{k,l} \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^e \big) \boldsymbol{\tau}$ and $\nabla \mathbf{w}^e \mathbf{n} - \nabla \mathbf{w}^i \mathbf{n} = -\big(\mathbb{K}_{k,l} \widehat{\nabla} \mathbf{w}^e \big) \mathbf{n}$ can be done in exactly the same manner as above.

References

[1] H. Ammari, E. Beretta, E. Francini, H. Kang, and M. Lim, Reconstruction of small interface changes of an inclusion from modal measurements II: the elastic case J. Math. Pures et Appl., 94 (2010), 3, 322–339.

[2] H. Ammari, E. Bretin, J. Garnier, H. Kang, H. Lee, and A. Wahab, *Mathematical methods in elasticity imaging*. Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2015.

[3] H. Ammari, J. Garnier, H. Kang, M. Lim, and K. Solna, Multistatic imaging of extended targets. SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 5 (2012), 564–600.

[4] H. Ammari and H. Kang, *Reconstruction of small inhomogeneities from boundary measurements, Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1846, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004.

[5] ————, *Polarization and moment tensors with applications to inverse problems and effective medium theory*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 162, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2007.

[6] H. Ammari, H. Kang, M. Lim, and H. Zribi, Conductivity interface problems. Part I: Small perturbations of an interface, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 362 (2010), 2435–2449.

[7] H. Ammari, H. Kang, M. Lim, and H. Zribi, The generalized polarization tensors for resolved imaging. Part I: shape reconstruction of a conductivity inclusion, Math. of Comp., 81 (2012), 367–86.

[8] H. Ammari, H. Kang, G. Nakamura, and K. Tanuma, Complete asymptotic expansions of solutions of the system of elastostatics in the presence of an inclusion of Small diameter and detection of an inclusion, Jour. of Elasticity, 67 (2002), 97–129.

[9] E. Beretta, E. Bonnetier, E. Francini, and A. L. Mazzucato, An asymptotic formula for the displacement field in the presence of small anisotropic elastic inclusions, Inverse Problems and Imaging, 6 (2012), 1–23.

[10] E. Beretta and E. Francini, An asymptotic formula for the displacement field in the presence of thin elastic inhomogeneities, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 38, (2006), 1249–1261.

[11] B. E. Dahlberg, C.E. Kenig, and G. Verchota, Boundary value problem for the systems of elastostatics in Lipschitz domains, Duke Math. Jour., 57 (1988), 795–818.

[12] L. Escauriaza and J. K. Seo, Regularity properties of solutions to transmission problems, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 338 (1993), 405–430.

[13] H. Kang, E. Kim, and J.-Y. Lee, identification of elastic inclusions and elastic moment tensors by boundary measurements, Inverse Problems, 19 (2003), 703-724.

[14] T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1976.

[15] A. Khelifi and H. Zribi, Asymptotic expansions for the voltage potentials with two and three-dimensional thin interfaces, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 34, (2011), 2274–2290.

[16] A. Khelifi and H. Zribi, Boundary voltage perturbations resulting from small surface changes of a conductivity inclusion, Appl. Anal., 93, (2014), 46–64.

[17] J. Lagha, F. Triki, and H. Zribi, Small perturbations of an interface for elastostatic problems, *submitted*.

[18] M. Lim, K. Louati, and H. Zribi, An asymptotic formalism for reconstructing small perturbations of scatterers from electric or acoustic far-field measurements, Math. Methods Appl. Sci., 31 (2008), 1315–1332.

[19] M. Lim and S. Yu, Reconstruction of the shape of an inclusion from elastic moment tensors, Contemp. Math., 548, (2011), 61–76.

[20] Y. Y. Li and L. Nirenberg, Estimates for elliptic systems from composite material, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 56 (2003), 892-925.

[21] S. Soussi, Second-harmonic generation in the undepleted-pump approximation, SIAM MMS 4 (2005), no.1, 115–148.

[22] H. Zribi, Asymptotic expansions for currents caused by small interface changes of an electromagnetic inclusion, Appl. Anal., 92 (2013), 172–190.